

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/997,489	12/23/1997	CHARLES L. DENNIS	51410-P003US	1370
27517	7590 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.			RUDY, ANDREW J	
2200 ROSS A SUITE 2800	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75201		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	DENNIS, CHARLES L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 August 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 107-112 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 107-112 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to t	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTCB)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		l/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 107-112 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 1-106 and 113-125.

Applicant's December 23, 2003 Amendment has been entered. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's August 20, 2004 REMARKS. The Examiner regrets the lack of compact prosecution, but upon further review the following is deemed necessary.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 107-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 107, line 1, the phrase "for processing financial data" is intended use language. Thus, the financial data is not positively recited. From line 5, Applicant recites "receiving financial data" in a positive recitation. Thus, the Examiner is confused as to whether the Applicant intended a positive recitation of the financial data.

Claim 107, lines 2-3, the phrase "said customers" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 107, line 6, the phrase "for a customer" is intended use language. Thus, the customer is not positively recited. Applicant then attempts to positively a plurality of customers from "said customers wireless device" from line 8. It is not clear what Applicant intends to claim. Also, the later phrase lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 08/997,489

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

4. Claims 107-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 107-112 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of receiving, establishing, identifying and determining does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. The terms "wireless cell" and "wireless device," as claimed, do not obviate this line of reasoning. These steps only constitute an idea of how to receive financial data. The customer need not be present to execute any of the steps, and if executed may merely be given by hand (digital data) or orally.

Application/Control Number: 08/997,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 107-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls, US 6,601,040.

Kolls discloses a wireless system for processing financial data for transmission in which customers use wireless devices, e.g. 558, with a merchant for correlating the financial data with the customer information to select individual profiles to facilitate the transaction of funds within a remote location, e.g. 636, from a geographic area for a point-of-sale device, e.g. 614. Harris does not explicitly disclose the terms if only one of said point-of-sale device locations.

However, to have provided the hypothetical for displaying a list of point-of-sale device locations for Koll, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is noted that Kolls need not provide the hypothetical point-of-sale locations within certain geographical areas noted by the Applicant from claim 1, lines 11-17. Simply put, Kolls need only determine which of the plurality of point-of-sale locations are within said geographic area.

6. Further pertinent references are noted on the attached PTO-892.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Fredy November 11, 2004